

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,916	08/29/2005	Philippe Boyer	0630-1008	5149
466 7590 08/12/2008 YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street			EXAMINER	
			MAI, HAO D	
Suite 500 ALEXANDRI	A VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520,916 BOYER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HAO D. MAI -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2005. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/520,916

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite because they are replete with limitations lacking sufficient antecedent bases. For example, the recited limitations "the sample" (line 1 of claim 1), "the colour" (line 2 of claim 1), "it" (line 4 of claim 1), "the screen" (line 2 of claim 10), just to name a few, all lack sufficient antecedent bases.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1, 3-4, and 9-11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jung et al. (6254385 B1).

Jung et al. disclose a method and device for determining a sample of a color coding ring/chart 404 closet to the color of at least a part of at least one element of a patent 402's set of teeth (Fig. 26), with the aid of imaging means comprising a video camera (abstract; , the method and device comprising the steps of and/or means of: inputting and freezing on the screen a color image of the patent's set of teeth element

Application/Control Number: 10/520,916

Art Unit: 3732

(column 32 lines 37-39); filming the color coding ring/chart 404 and displaying on the screen the image; the image of the sample color is shown to be lying side by side the frozen image of the patient's set of teeth without any separation; and visually comparing the two images (Fig. 26; column 33 lines 54-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2, 5-8, and 12-13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung et al. (6254385 B1).

Jung et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, Jung et al. are silent to the samples of the color coding ring/chart are made to advance on the screen (claim 2). Jung et al. are also silent to controlling or inhibit the automatic controlling of the chrominance and luminosity of the video camera (claims 5-8 and 12-13).

The claim sample-advancing technique is well known, e.g. Microsoft Word™ has a color chart for the users to select a color for the font, each color in the color chart can be advanced to be previewed for selection. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to advance the samples of the color coding chart so that each sample can be individually evaluated next to the patient's tooth. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jung et al. by controlling or inhibiting the automatic control of the chrominance and/or luminosity

Application/Control Number: 10/520,916 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

of the video camera. Such control on the chrominance and/or luminosity of the video camera is

merely a matter of choice well within the skill of an artisan obtained via routine experimentation

in order to achieve an optimum match between the real object and the image of the object

captured by the video camera.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to HAO D. MAI whose telephone number is (571)270-3002. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

 $system, see \ http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. \ Should \ you \ have \ questions \ on \ access \ to \ the \ Private$

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hao D Mai/

/John J Wilson/

Examiner, Art Unit 3732

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732

Application/Control Number: 10/520,916 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732